

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,521	10/06/2003	Jay Yu	VIAP0086USA	2520
27765	7590 11/26/2004		EXAMINER	
NAIPO (NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE)			NADAV, ORI	
P.O. BOX 50 MERRIFIEL	06 D, VA 22116		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
William III	2, VII 22110		2811	
			n.mn	

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/605,521	YU ET AL.	·
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ori nadav	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	,
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims we	* * *	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:		A.N	

ORI NADAV PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations of plurality of via plugs positoned along two parallel lines, or the plurality of via plugs are aligned but not positioned along two parallel lines, or the plurality of via plugs are not aligned, as recited in claims 1 and 3, warrant further consideration and/or search.